arXiv:0912.2924v1 [hep-th] 15 Dec 2009

LC_2 formulation of supergravity

Sudarshan Ananth

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research
Pune 411021, India

Abstract

We formulate $(N = 1, d = 11)$ supergravity in components in light-cone gauge (LC_2) to order κ. In this formulation, we use judicious gauge choices and the associated constraint relations to express the metric, three-form and gravitino entirely in terms of the physical degrees of freedom in the theory.

1 Introduction

Eleven-dimensional supergravity is an interesting theory for a number of reasons. Chief among these is that the theory is the higher dimensional progenitor for $(N = 8, d = 4)$ supergravity. There are indications that the $N = 8$ theory is perturbatively finite making it a candidate for a finite quantum field theory of gravity - this makes understanding the parent theory important as well. In this paper, we formulate $d = 11$ supergravity in components, to order κ in light-cone gauge. This is an interesting exercise in itself: given the three very different fields in the theory, judicious gauge choices can vastly simplify the structure of the Lagrangian. We will make a number of such choices to make manifest the physical degrees of freedom and highlight the close ties between the graviton, gravitino and three-form. $(N = 1, d = 11)$ supergravity is ultraviolet divergent. A model like M-theory, also in eleven dimensions, presumably tames these divergences and an understanding of how may arise from a study of the ultra-violet properties of $d = 11$ supergravity. Another point of interest is the role of the little group in divergence analysis. Hughes [1] conjectured that divergence cancellations in field theories could be traced back to the space-time little group. Curtright [2] made this proposal more concrete by considering loop integrals arising from theories in higher dimensions

$$\Pi_{mn}(q) \propto \frac{(-)^s}{r}\left(I^{(2)} - \frac{I^{(0)}}{D-1}\right)(q_m q_n - q^2 g_{mn}) f(q^2) \ . \tag{1}$$

$f(q^2)$ represents a generic one-loop integral, D the dimension of space-time and $(-)^s = +1$ for bosons and -1 for fermions. $I^{(0)}$ and $I^{(2)}$ represent Dynkin indices corresponding to $O(D-2)$ thus emphasizing the central role played by the space-time little group in determining ultra-violet behavior. The structure of $SO(9)$, for example, offers insights into the divergent nature of the $(N = 1, d = 11) \leftrightarrow (N = 8, d = 4)$ system [3]. A systematic derivation of (1), in the context of Yang-Mills, was undertaken in [4]. Extending this analysis to supergravity requires an LC_2 formulation in components[1] and this motivates the present paper. A light-cone formulation where the unphysical degrees of freedom are eliminated is referred to as LC_2 as opposed to LC_4 [6].

2 Eleven-dimensional supergravity

The bosonic field content of eleven-dimensional supergravity consists of the elfbein, ${e_\mu}^a$ and a completely antisymmetric 3-form potential $A_{\mu\nu\rho}$ with field strength $F_{\mu\,\nu\,\rho\,\sigma} = \partial_{[\mu}\,A_{\nu\,\rho\,\sigma\,]}$. In terms of the $SO(9)$ little group in eleven dimensions, these correspond to a total of 128 bosonic states. The fermionic content consists of a single Majorana field, Ψ_μ which has 128 fermionic states. The $N = 1$ supergravity action in eleven dimensions is [7]

$$S = \int d^{11}x \; e\,\{L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4\,\} \ , \tag{2}$$

---

[1] As opposed to a superspace or manifestly supersymmetric formulation [5].

where e is the elfbein determinant and the individual Lagrangians are [8]

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= -\,\frac{1}{2}\, e\, R(\, e\,,\, \omega\,)\ , \\[2ex]
L_2 &= -\,\frac{1}{48}\, e\, F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \frac{2\kappa}{(12)^4}\, \epsilon^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{11}}\, F_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_4}\, F_{\mu_5 \ldots \mu_8}\, A_{\mu_9 \mu_{10} \mu_{11}}\ , \\[2ex]
L_3 &= -\,\frac{i}{2}\, e\, \overline{\Psi}_\mu\, \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma} D_\rho\Big(\frac{\omega + \hat{\omega}}{2}\Big) \Psi_\sigma\ , \\[2ex]
L_4 &= +\,\frac{\kappa}{192}\, e\, (\, \overline{\Psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}\, \Psi_\beta + 12\, \overline{\Psi}^\nu \gamma^{\rho\sigma} \Psi^\beta\,)\, [\, F_{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha} + \hat{F}_{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha}\,]\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We will work with both the metric and elfbein fields ${e_\mu}^a$, since we need to introduce fermions. We use light-cone coordinates for *both* space-time and the locally flat indices. Whenever necessary, we will circle space-time light-cone indices to differentiate them from locally flat light-cone indices. $\mu\,,\,\nu\,\ldots$ represent space-time indices, $\mu = \oplus, \ominus, \textcircled{i}$ with $\textcircled{i} = 1 \ldots 8$ while $a\,,\,b\,\ldots$ are the locally flat indices, $a = +, -, i$ where $i = 1 \ldots 8$.

Working in the 1.5 order formalism [9], we formulate the $d = 11$ theory in light-cone gauge to order κ. The spin-connection, determined by the variation $\frac{\delta}{\delta\omega} S = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\nu a b}(e) = {}& {e_a}^\rho(\partial_\nu e_{b\rho} - \partial_\rho e_{b\nu}) - {e_b}^\rho(\partial_\nu e_{a\rho} - \partial_\rho e_{a\nu}) \\
&+ {e_a}^\rho {e_b}^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\, e_{c\rho} - \partial_\rho e_{c\sigma}) {e_\nu}^c \\
&+ \frac{\kappa^2}{4}(\overline{\Psi}_\nu \gamma_a \Psi_b - \overline{\Psi}_\nu \gamma_b \Psi_a + \overline{\Psi}_a \gamma_\nu \Psi_b) - \frac{\kappa^2}{8} \overline{\Psi}^\alpha \gamma_{\alpha\nu a b \beta} \Psi^\beta\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The curvature is defined as

$$R_{\mu\,\nu\,a\,b} = \partial_\mu\, \omega_{\nu\,a\,b} - \partial_\nu\, \omega_{\mu\,a\,b} + \omega_{\mu\,a\,c}\, {\omega_\nu}^c{}_b - \omega_{\nu\,a\,c}\, {\omega_\mu}^c{}_b\ . \tag{5}$$

Space-time γ matrices are written in terms of locally flat coordinates as

$$\gamma^\mu = {e^\mu}_a\, \gamma^a\ , \tag{6}$$

and these flat gamma matrices satisfy

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = -2\, \eta^{ab}\ .$$

η^{ab} is flat with signature $(-1, +1, \ldots + 1)$ and $\gamma^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}$ is the completely antisymmetric product of n γ matrices.

$$D_\nu = \partial_\nu + \frac{1}{8}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b] \omega_{\nu a b}\ , \tag{7}$$

is the covariant derivative.

3 $d = 11$ supergravity in LC_2 to order κ

Pure gravity has been previously formulated in light-cone gauge in many mildly differing forms [10–15] while gravitino interactions have been analyzed in light-front variables in [16]. The three fields in the $d = 11$ theory are the metric, the three-form and the Rarita-Schwinger field. The metric has 44 components, the three-form 84 and the gravitino 128 degrees of freedom. With the spacetime metric $(-, +, \ldots, +)$ we define

$$x^{\pm} \,=\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(x^0 \,\pm\, x^{10})\ , \quad \partial_{\pm} \,=\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\partial_0 \,\pm\, \partial_{10})\ . \tag{8}$$

x^+ plays the role of time and $-i\,\partial_+$ the Hamiltonian. $\partial_- = -\partial^+$ is now a spatial derivative and its inverse, $\frac{1}{\partial_-}$, is defined using the prescription in [17]. We will now systematically formulate each of the four terms in (2) in light-cone gauge.

3.1 L_1: gravity

This subsection is not as detailed as the following two because light-cone gravity has been treated in detail before. We therefore keep this subsection short highlighting only important results and refer the reader to [12,13] for additional details. In this subsection alone, we circle the space-time light-cone indices to differentiate them from the locally flat light-cone indices.

We parametrize the elfbeins as follows

$$e_{\oplus}{}^{+} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\,\phi}\ , \qquad e_{\ominus}{}^{-} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\,\phi}\ . \tag{9}$$

The symmetric object g_{ij} (transverse metric) is parametrized as

$$g_{\textcircled{i}\textcircled{j}} = e^{\xi}\,\beta_{ij}\ , \tag{10}$$

where ξ is a real field and β_{ij} is a real symmetric unimodular matrix that satisfies

$$\beta_{ij}\,\beta_{jk} = \quad \delta_{i\,k}\ . \tag{11}$$

We choose light-cone gauge by setting

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\ominus}{}^{+} &= 0\ , \\ e_{\ominus}{}^{k} &= 0\ , \\ e_{\textcircled{i}}{}^{+} &= 0\ , \end{aligned}$$

and $\phi = \frac{1}{2}\,\xi$. We also choose [12]

$$\beta_{ij} = (\ e^{\kappa\,h}\ )_{ij}\ , \tag{12}$$

where h_{ij} is a symmetric trace-free matrix. We expand β as

$$\beta_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \kappa\,h_{ij} + \mathcal{O}\,(\kappa^2)\ . \tag{13}$$

To order κ, the relevant tensor contributions from (3) read

$$T_{\mu\nu}(A) = -\frac{1}{12}(F_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}F_{\nu}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{12}g_{\mu\nu}F^2) \ , \tag{14}$$

and

$$T_{\mu}^{a}(\Psi) = -\frac{i}{2}ee_{\mu}^{a}\overline{\Psi}_{\sigma}\gamma^{\sigma\rho\eta}\partial_{\rho}\Psi_{\eta} + \frac{i}{2}e\overline{\Psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{a\rho\eta}\partial_{\rho}\Psi_{\eta} + \frac{i}{2}e\overline{\Psi}_{\sigma}\gamma^{a\sigma\rho}(\partial_{\rho}\Psi_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\Psi_{\rho}) \ . \tag{15}$$

There are many cancelations because most contributions to order κ occur through the transverse metric $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \kappa h_{ij}$ and its inverse $g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \kappa h_{ij}$ which differ in sign. From the various components of $R_{\mu}^{a} - \frac{1}{2}e_{\mu}^{a}R = T_{\mu}^{a}(A,\Psi)$ we infer that

$$\xi \ \sim \ 0 + O(\kappa^2) \ , \qquad e \ \sim \ 1 + O(\kappa^2) \ , \tag{16}$$

$$e_{\textcircled{j}}{}^{-} = -\,\kappa\,\frac{\partial_m}{\partial_-}h_{jm} + \mathcal{O}\,(\kappa^2) \ , \tag{17}$$

and

$$e_{\oplus}{}^{-} = -\,\frac{\kappa}{2}\,\frac{\partial_i\partial_m}{\partial_-^2}h_{im} + \mathcal{O}\,(\kappa^2) \ . \tag{18}$$

The gravity Lagrangian

$$L_1 \ \propto \ e\,e^{\rho b}e^{\sigma c}R_{\rho\sigma cb} \ , \tag{19}$$

is now expressible entirely in terms of the physical variables and to order κ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 = &+\frac{1}{4}\,h_{ij}\,\Box\,h_{ij} + \frac{\kappa}{4}\,h_{ij}\,\frac{\partial_i\partial_j}{\partial_-^2}\,(\partial_- h_{mn}\,\partial_- h_{mn}) \\
&+\frac{\kappa}{2}\,h_{mk}(\partial_i\partial_- h_{mk})\frac{\partial_l}{\partial_-}h_{il} + \kappa\,h_{mk}(\partial_k\partial_- h_{im})\partial_l h_{il} \\
&+\frac{\kappa}{2}h_{mk}(\partial_- h_{mk})\partial_l\partial_i h_{il} + \kappa\,(\partial_- h_{im})(\partial_k h_{mk})\partial_l h_{il} \\
&+\frac{\kappa}{4}\,h_{ij}(\partial_i h_{kl})\partial_j h_{kl} - \frac{\kappa}{2}h_{mk}(\partial_l h_{il})\partial_k h_{im} \\
&-\frac{\kappa}{2}h_{jl}(\partial_k h_{ij})\partial_l h_{ik} + 18\kappa(\frac{\partial_i\partial_p}{\partial_-}A_{pkl})(\partial_- A_{jkl})h_{ij} \\
&-6\kappa(\partial_p A_{pik})(\partial_q A_{qjk})h_{ij} + \frac{\kappa}{12}F_{iklm}F_{jklm}h_{ij} \\
&+\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^{ik}\frac{\partial^k\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^m h_{ij} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^i\partial^p\chi^p h_{ij} \\
&-\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^i\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m\partial^k}{\partial_-}\chi^k h_{ij} + \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{ik}\gamma^l\frac{\partial^l}{\partial_-}\chi^k h_{ij} \\
&+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{ipk}\partial^p\chi^k h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^{ipk}\gamma^m\frac{\partial^p\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^k h_{ij} \\
&-\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{m\dagger}\gamma^j\gamma^l\partial^l\chi^i h_{ij} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m\partial^k}{\partial_-^2}\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^j\partial_-\chi^i h_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{m\dagger}\gamma^{jk}\partial^k\chi^i h_{ij}+\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{jk}\gamma^l\partial^l\chi^i h_{ij}\\
&-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{jkl}\partial^l\chi^i h_{ij}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^{jkl}\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m\partial^l}{\partial_-}\chi^i h_{ij}\\
&-\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{m\dagger}\gamma^{jk}\partial^i\chi^k h_{ij}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^{jkl}\gamma^m\frac{\partial^i\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^l h_{ij}\\
&+\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^{jk}\frac{\partial^i\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^m h_{ij}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}i\kappa\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{k\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{jkl}\partial^i\chi^l h_{ij}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is important to note that (20) makes significant use of results (for the three-form and gravitino) derived in the following two subsections. Also, terms that explicitly involve ∂_+ have been eliminated by means of a suitable field redefinition - this procedure is detailed in [12].

3.2 L_2: three-form

The 3-form part of the supergravity Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_2+L_4=&-\frac{1}{48}\,e\,F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\,F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}+\frac{2\kappa}{(12)^4}\,\epsilon^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{11}}F_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_4}\,F_{\mu_5\ldots\mu_8}\,A_{\mu_9\mu_{10}\mu_{11}}\\
&+\frac{\kappa}{96}\,e\,(\,\overline{\Psi}_\mu\,\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}\Psi_\beta+12\,\overline{\Psi}^\nu\,\gamma^{\rho\sigma}\Psi^\beta\,)F_{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

and yields the following equations of motion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=&-\frac{\kappa}{576}\epsilon^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_8\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_4}F_{\mu_5\ldots\mu_8}\\
&-\frac{\kappa}{96}\partial_\alpha(\,\overline{\Psi_\mu}\gamma^{\mu\alpha\nu\rho\sigma\beta}\Psi_\beta\,)-\frac{\kappa}{8}\partial_\alpha(\,\overline{\Psi^\alpha}\gamma^{\nu\rho}\Psi^\sigma\,)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

We choose light-cone gauge by setting

$$
A_{-ij}=-A^{+ij}=0\qquad A_{-+k}=A^{+-k}=0\ . \tag{23}
$$

The $+kl$ component of the equations of motion determines

$$
A^{-kl}(\kappa^0)=-\frac{\partial_i}{\partial_-}A^{ikl} \tag{24}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
6\,\partial_-^2A^{-kl}(\kappa)=&-\frac{\kappa}{72}\epsilon^{+-i_2\cdots i_8kl}\partial_-A_{[i_2i_3i_4]}\partial_{[i_5}A_{i_6i_7i_8]}-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\kappa\,\partial_p(\chi^{p\dagger}\gamma^k\chi^l)\\
&-\frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}\kappa\,\partial_p(\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^{jpklm}\chi^m)+\frac{5}{16\sqrt{2}}\kappa\,\partial_-(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial_-}\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^n\gamma^{jklm}\chi^m)\\
&-\frac{5}{16\sqrt{2}}\kappa\,\partial_-(\chi^{j\dagger}\gamma^{jklm}\gamma^p\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^m)+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\kappa\,\partial_-(\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^{p\dagger}\gamma^k\chi^l)\\
&-\frac{\kappa}{576}\epsilon^{+i_1\cdots i_8kl}\partial_{[i_1}A_{i_2i_3i_4]}\partial_{[i_5}A_{i_6i_7i_8]}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

Note that this result uses some additional information (about the gravitino) derived in the next subsection. The LC_2 3-form Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 =& -18 A_{ijk} \Box A_{ijk} + \frac{2\kappa}{12^2} \epsilon^{+-ijkmnpqrs} \Big\{ -8\,(\partial_+ A_{ijk})(\partial_- A_{mnp}) A_{qrs} \\ & + 24\,(\frac{\partial_i \partial_q}{\partial_-} A_{qjk})(\partial_- A_{mnp}) A_{qrs} - 24\,(\partial_q A_{qij})(\partial_k A_{mnp}) A_{qrs} \\ & + 24\,(\partial_- A_{ijk})(\partial_m A_{npq}) \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_-} A_{qrs} \Big\} + \frac{9}{2}\,(\partial_- A_{ikl}) \partial_i [\, A^{-kl}(\kappa) \,] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $A^{-kl}(\kappa)$ is given by (25). It is important to point out that L_4 is not included above and will be dealt with in subsection (3.4). As in gravity, the first term in (26) involves an explicit ∂_+ and this is easily removed[2] using a field redefinition analogous to (29) in [12]. $A_{\mu\nu\rho}$ has 165 components. The first and second gauge choices in (23) eliminate $\frac{9 \cdot 8}{2} = 36$ components and 9 components respectively while (24) eliminates an additional $\frac{9 \cdot 8}{2} = 36$ components leaving us with 84 "physical" components for A_{ijk}.

3.3 L_3: gravitino

The gravitino-dependent terms in eleven-dimensional supergravity are (with spinor indices suppressed)

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 + L_4 =& -\frac{i}{2} e \overline{\Psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda} D_\nu \Psi_\lambda \\ & + \frac{\kappa}{96} e (\, \overline{\Psi}_\mu \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} \Psi_\beta + 12 \overline{\Psi}^\nu \gamma^{\rho\sigma} \Psi^\alpha \,) F_{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

As mentioned earlier, the determinant $e \sim 1 + O(\kappa^2)$ and

$$\gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \Big[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\mu - \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu \Big] \ . \tag{28}$$

We define

$$\gamma^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^0 \pm \gamma^{10}) \ , \quad \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi^\mu = 2 \Psi^{\mu(+)} \quad \gamma^- \gamma^+ \Psi^\mu = 2 \Psi^{\mu(-)} \ , \tag{29}$$

and go to light-cone gauge by setting

$$\Psi_- = -\Psi^+ = 0 \ . \tag{30}$$

We also make the additional gauge choice

$$\gamma \cdot \Psi = \gamma^i \Psi^i - \gamma^+ \Psi^- = 0 \ , \tag{31}$$

implying that

$$\gamma^i \Psi^{i(-)} = 0 \ . \tag{32}$$

---

[2] Technically, the ∂_+ reappears in terms involving higher orders of κ.

This allows us to define the "physical" gravitino field

$$\chi^i = (\delta^{il} + \frac{1}{9}\gamma^i\gamma^l)\Psi^{l(-)} \ . \tag{33}$$

Equations of motion corresponding to (27) are

$$i\gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda}D_\nu\Psi_\lambda = \frac{\kappa}{96}\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}\Psi_\beta F_{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha} + \frac{\kappa}{8}\gamma^{\rho\sigma}\Psi^\alpha F^\mu_{\rho\sigma\alpha} \ , \tag{34}$$

with D_ν defined by (7). The $\mu = +$ component implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^+\Psi^{-(-)} &= \partial^l\Psi^{l(-)} + \frac{\kappa}{2}(\partial^j h_{jk})\Psi^{k(-)} - \frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma^j\gamma^l(\partial^l h_{jk})\Psi^{k(-)} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma^j(\partial^+ h_{jk})\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m}{\partial^+}\Psi^{k(-)} - \frac{5}{8}\kappa\,\gamma^{jklm}\,\frac{\gamma^n\partial^n}{\partial_-}\Psi^{m(-)}\partial_- A_{[jkl]} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{16}\gamma^{jnklm}\Psi^{m(-)}\,\partial_{[j}A_{nkl]} - \frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma^j\,\Psi^{m(-)}\,\partial_- A_{[+jm]} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{16}\gamma^{jk}\frac{\gamma^n\partial^n}{\partial_-}\Psi^{m(-)}\,\partial_- A_{[jkm]} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

while the lower and upper components of the $\mu = i$ equation yield

$$\partial^+\,\Psi^{-(+)} = \frac{1}{2}\,\gamma^+\,\gamma^m\,\frac{\partial^m\partial^k}{\partial^+}\,\Psi^{k(-)} + O(\kappa) \ . \tag{36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^+\Psi^{i(+)} &= + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^+\gamma^l\partial^l\Psi^{i(-)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^j\{(\partial^k h_{jk})\Psi^{i(-)}\} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^l\{(\partial^l h_{ij})\,\Psi^{j(-)}\} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\kappa\gamma^+\{(\partial^+ h_{ij})\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m}{\partial^+}\Psi^{j(-)}\} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^j\{(\partial^k h_{ij})\,\Psi^{k(-)}\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^j\{\partial^+ h_{ij})\,\frac{\partial^l}{\partial^+}\Psi^{l(-)}\} - \frac{5}{8}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^{ijkl}\Psi^{-(-)}\partial_- A_{[jkl]} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\kappa\gamma^{ijklm}\Psi^{m(+)}\partial_- A_{[jkl]} - \frac{1}{192}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^{ijklpm}\Psi^{m(-)}\partial_{[j}A_{klp]} \\ &- \frac{15}{8}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^{ijkm}\Psi^{m(-)}\partial_- A_{[+jk]} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\gamma^j\Psi^{m(+)}\partial_- A_{[ijm]} \\ &- \frac{1}{16}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^{jk}\Psi^{m(-)}\partial_{[i}A_{jkm]} + \frac{1}{16}\kappa\gamma^+\gamma^{jk}\Psi^{-(-)}\partial_- A_{[ijk]} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\kappa\gamma^+\Psi^{k(-)}\partial_- A_{[+ik]} + O(\kappa^2) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The next subsection will focus exclusively on L_4 which was also ignored earlier when deriving (26). At present, we simply substitute the above results, to order κ, into the first line in (27) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 =& \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^0\Big[\gamma^l\partial^l\Psi^{i(+)} + \gamma^+\partial_+\chi^i + \frac{\kappa}{4}\gamma^+\gamma^j\gamma^l(\partial^k h_{jk})\frac{\partial^l}{\partial_-}\chi^i \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma^+\gamma^l\gamma^p(\partial^l h_{ij})\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^j + \kappa\,\gamma^+(\partial_+ h_{ij})\chi^j - \frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma^+\gamma^j\gamma^l(\partial^k h_{ij})\frac{\partial^l}{\partial_-}\chi^k \\
&- \frac{\kappa}{2}\,\gamma^j\,(\,\partial_-\,h_{ij}\,)\,\gamma^+\,\gamma^m\,\frac{\partial^m\partial^k}{\partial_-^2}\,\chi^k\,\Big] \\
&- i\kappa\frac{\partial^n}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^n\Big\{\frac{15}{4}\gamma^{ijkl}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^m\partial_-A_{jkl} - \frac{45}{4}\gamma^{ijkm}\chi^m\partial_qA_{qjk} \\
&+ \frac{3}{4}\gamma^{ijklm}\gamma^p\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^m\partial_-A_{jkl} - \frac{1}{8}\gamma^{ijpklm}\chi^m\partial_jA_{pkl} \\
&- \frac{3}{8}\gamma^{jk}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^m\partial_-A_{ijk} - \frac{3}{2}\chi^m\partial_qA_{qim} + \frac{3}{2}\gamma^j\gamma^p\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^m\partial_-A_{ijm} \\
&+ \frac{3}{4}\gamma^{jk}\chi^m\partial_jA_{ikm} - \frac{3}{8}\gamma^{jk}\chi^m\partial_iA_{jkm} + \frac{3}{8}\gamma^{jk}\chi^m\partial_mA_{jki} \\
&- \frac{15}{8}\gamma^i\gamma^{jklm}\gamma^p\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^m\partial_-A_{jkl} + \frac{3}{4}\gamma^i\gamma^{jpklm}\chi^m\partial_jA_{pkl} \\
&- \frac{3}{2}\gamma^i\gamma^j\chi^m\frac{\partial_q}{A}{}_{qjm} + \frac{3}{16}\gamma^i\gamma^{jk}\gamma^p\frac{\partial^p}{\partial_-}\chi^m\partial_-A_{jkm}\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

with $\Psi^{i(+)}$ given by (37).

3.4 L_4: gravitino three-form coupling

We now turn to the final piece L_4 in (2). A straightforward substitution of all LC_2 results derived thus far yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}L_4 =& -\frac{45}{4}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{ijkl}\chi^l\partial_qA_{qjk} + \frac{45}{4}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{ijkl}\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^l\partial_qA_{qjk} \\
&- \frac{3}{2}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{ijkpl}\chi^l\partial_+A_{jkp} - \frac{15}{4}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{jkpl}\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^l\partial_-A_{jkp} \\
&+ \frac{3}{4}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{ijkpl}\gamma^n\frac{\partial^n}{\partial_-}\chi^l\partial_-A_{jkp} - \frac{9}{2}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{ijkpl}\chi^l\frac{\partial_j\partial_q}{\partial_-}A_{qkp} \\
&+ \frac{15}{4}\frac{\partial^i\partial^m}{\partial_-^2}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{jkpl}\chi^l\partial_-A_{jkp} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{jkmpl}\chi^l\partial_jA_{kmp} \\
&- \frac{15}{4}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{ijkp}\gamma^m\frac{\partial^m\partial^l}{\partial_-^2}\chi^l\partial_-A_{jkp} + \frac{15}{4}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^m\gamma^{ijkp}\frac{\partial^l}{\partial_-}\chi^l\partial_-A_{jkp} \\
&- \frac{3}{2}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{ijkmp}\frac{\partial^l}{\partial_-}\chi^l\partial_jA_{kmp} - \frac{1}{8}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial_-}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^n\gamma^{ijkmpl}\chi^l\partial_jA_{kmp} \\
&- \frac{1}{8}\chi^{i\dagger}\gamma^{ijkmpl}\gamma^n\frac{\partial^n}{\partial_-}\chi^l\partial_jA_{kmp}
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

4 Conclusions

Eleven-dimensional space-time houses $N = 1$ supergravity, the largest supersymmetric local field theory with helicity two on reduction to four dimensions. In this paper we have formulated this theory to order κ, in light-cone gauge. We have made a number of gauge choices which helped differentiate between the unwanted degrees of freedom and the actual physically relevant variables. It is interesting to note how every component of L depends on all three fields thanks to the maximal supersymmetry that closely links them. One very nice thing about the LC_2 procedure is the way the gauge conditions make the counting of degrees-of-freedom obvious. In particular, the 128 fermionic degrees of freedom are captured entirely by (33). In momentum space, these structures collapse considerably and Feynman rules are therefore the next step. A necessary next step is the Lagrangian to order κ^2 which will then make an explicit check of (1) for the $(N = 1, d = 11) \leftrightarrow (N = 8, d = 4)$ system possible.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Sarthak Parikh and Hidehiko Shimada for valuable discussions and Martin Cederwall for sending me a replacement copy of [12]. I thank Lars Brink, Stefano Kovacs, Sunil Mukhi, G Rajasekaran, R Ramachandran, Pierre Ramond and Sergei Shabanov for helpful comments. This work is supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India through a Ramanujan Fellowship and by the Max Planck Society, Germany through the Max Planck Partner Group in Quantum Field Theory.

References

[1] R. Hughes, *Phys. Lett.* **B 97** (1980) 246.

[2] T. Curtright, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1704.

[3] L. Brink, P. Ramond and X. Xiong, *JHEP* **0210** (2002) 058, `hep-th`/0207253.
P. Ramond, *From fields to strings, vol. 3* (2004) 1750, `hep-th`/0411024.

[4] S. Ananth, L. Brink and P. Ramond, (2003) *unpublished*.

[5] S. Ananth, L. Brink and P. Ramond, *JHEP* **0505** (2005) 003, `hep-th`/0501079.
M. Cederwall, arXiv:0912.1814 [hep-th].
R. Metsaev, *Phys. Rev.* **D 71** (2005) 085017, `hep-th`/0410239.

[6] G. Leibbrandt, *Phys. Rev.* **D 29** (1984) 1699.

[7] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett.* **B 76** (1978) 409.

[8] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Phys. Rept.* **68** (1981) 189.
F. Brandt, *Fortsch. Phys.* **50** (2002) 1126.

[9] S. Deser and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett.* **B 62** (1976) 335.

[10] J. Scherk and John H. Schwarz, *CALT-68-479*.

[11] M. Kaku, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 91** (1975) 99.

[12] I. Bengtsson, M. Cederwall and O. Lindgren, *GOTEBORG-83-55* (1983).

[13] M. Goroff and J. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **B 127** (1983) 61.

[14] C. Aragone and A. Khoudeir, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **7** (1990) 1291.

[15] S. Ananth, *Phys. Lett.* **B 664** (2008) 219, arXiv:0803.1494 [hep-th].

[16] L. Singh and C. Hagen, *Phys. Rev.* **D 16** (1977) 347.

[17] S. Mandelstam, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 213** (1983) 149.